Environmental Checklist Form (Initial Study)

County of Los Angeles, Department of Regional Planning



Project title: Project R2014-03316-(5): Tentative Tract Map No. 073191, ROAK 201400031, RENV 201400267

Lead agency name and address: Los Angeles County, 320 West Temple Street, Los Angeles CA 90012

Contact Person and phone number: Tyler Montgomery, (213) 974-6433

Project sponsor's name and address: Dexter 11108 Freer, LLC, 255 E. Santa Clara St., Suite 220, Arcadia CA 91006

Project location: 11108 Freer Street, North El Monte (South Monrovia Islands)

APN: <u>8574-012-026</u> *USGS Quad*: <u>El Monte</u>

Gross Area: 0.82 acres

General Plan designation: "1"—Low Density Residential (1-6 dwelling units/acre) (1980 General Plan)

Community/Area wide Plan designation: N/A

Zoning: A-1 (Light Agricultural)

Description of project: The applicant proposes a Tentative Tract Map for six (6) detached single-family residential condominiums on a 0.82 gross (0.69 net) acre lot. There is also an oak tree permit request to retroactively authorize the removal of two oak trees. Vehicular access would be from a 26-foot-wide dedicated driveway and fire lane to Freer Street, to the north. One unit (Unit 6) would take pedestrian access from Florinda Avenue, to the west. A total of 200 cubic yards (100 cut, 100 fill) of grading and six (6) uncovered guest parking spaces are proposed on the site. The applicant is also requesting an increase in density due to infill, which is permitted under the provision of the 1980 General Plan. Because the project was submitted as a complete application prior to the effective date of the 2015 General Plan, it may be considered according to the standards of the 1980 Plan.

Surrounding land uses and setting: The subject property is relatively flat and contains a single-family residence, detached garage, swimming pool, tennis court, and outbuildings. It is surrounded by single-family residences on all sides, which are situated on lots between 4,000 and 8,000 square feet. Vehicular access would be from a dedicated driveway and fire lane to Freer Street, to the north. One unit (Unit 6) would take pedestrian access from Florinda Avenue, to the west. Vegetation on the project site consists of landscaping, grasses, and some small-to-medium trees.

participation agreement): Public Agency Approval Required Department of Public Works Building and grading permits Major projects in the area: Project/Case No. Description and Status None N/A**Reviewing Agencies:** Responsible Agencies Special Reviewing Agencies Regional Significance None None None None None Regional Water Quality Control SCAG Criteria Santa Monica Mountains Board: Conservancy Air Quality Los Angeles Region National Parks Water Resources Lahontan Region National Forest Santa Monica Mtns. Area Coastal Commission Edwards Air Force Base Other Army Corps of Engineers Resource Conservation District of Santa Monica Mountains Area El Monte City School District Trustee Agencies County Reviewing Agencies U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service \bowtie DPW: Fire Department - Forestry, Environmental State Dept. of Fish and - Land Development Division Wildlife (Grading & Drainage) Division State Dept. of Parks and - Geotechnical & Materials -Planning Division Recreation Engineering Division - Land Development Unit State Lands Commission - Health Hazmat - Watershed Management University of California Division (NPDES) Sanitation District (Natural Land and Water - Traffic and Lighting Division ➤ Public Health/Environmental Reserves System) - Environmental Programs Health Division: Land Use Division Program (OWTS), Drinking - Waterworks Division Water Program (Private - Sewer Maintenance Division Wells), Toxics Epidemiology Program (Noise) Sheriff's Department Parks and Recreation Subdivision Committee Other

Other public agencies whose approval may be required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The	environmental factors c	hecke	d below would be potentially a	ffected l	by this project.		
	Aesthetics		Greenhouse Gas Emissions		Population/Housing		
	Agriculture/Forest		Hazards/Hazardous Material	ls	Public Services		
	Air Quality		Hydrology/Water Quality		Recreation		
	Biological Resources		Land Use/Planning		Transportation/Traffic		
	Cultural Resources		Mineral Resources		Utilities/Services		
	Energy		Noise		Mandatory Findings of Significance		
	Geology/Soils				of oignificance		
	TERMINATION: (To be the basis of this initial ev		npleted by the Lead Departmenton:	nt.)			
			roject COULD NOT have a sig <u>ΓΙΟΝ</u> will be prepared.	gnificant	effect on the environment, and a		
	will not be a significa	ınt eff		ns in the	effect on the environment, there project have been made by or VE DECLARATION will be		
	1 1		roject MAY have a significant of <u>PACT REPORT</u> is required.	effect on	the environment, and an		
	significant unless mit adequately analyzed addressed by mitigate	igated n an o on m L IM		but at le pplicable alysis as	ast one effect 1) has been e legal standards, and 2) has been described on attached sheets. Ar		
	I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.						
Sign	nature (Prepared by)		Da	nte			
Sign	nature (Approved by)		 Da	ıte			

1. AESTHETICS

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:	,	1	1	1
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?			\boxtimes	
The project site is east of Santa Anita Avenue, which is not a Scenic Highway Element of the General Plan). There are a property. The proposed project is located within an esta creation of six detached condominiums from a level single-fa	no significant Iblished urba	ridgelines adj nized resident	acent to the tial commun	subject nity and
b) Be visible from or obstruct views from a regional riding or hiking trail?				
There are no riding or hiking trails within a mile of the project	et site (Source	e: GIS-NET T	rails Layer).	
c) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?			\boxtimes	
The residential development would be compatible with the not impact scenic resources. There are no oak trees on site. of landscaped grasses and short-to-medium trees. No his residence was built in 1930. The proposed project would a (Source: tentative map, aerial photos, site photos).	Vegetation storic building	on the project ngs exist on th	site consists ne site; the	s mostly existing
d) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings because of height, bulk, pattern, scale, character, or other features?				
Single-family residential lots of a similar size and scale cuensures consistency with applicable County zoning and General				pproval
e) Create a new source of substantial shadows, light, or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?				
Residences on the site would be required to confirm to the allows a maximum height of 35 feet in the A-1 Zone. No oth proposed.			_	

2. AGRICULTURE / FOREST

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:	impaci	meorporated	Impaci	тирист
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?				
The construction of residential buildings in an already esta	ablished urb	anized area w	ill not resul	t in the
conversion of Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland Program, California Department of Conservation).	nd (Source: I	Farmland Mapp	oing and Mo	<u>nitoring</u>
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, with a designated Agricultural Opportunity Area, or with a Williamson Act contract?				
Although the project site is currently zoned A-1 (Light Agricu use within such zones. The project site is not designated as Williamson Act contract.	, .	•	-	
c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code § 12220 (g)), timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code § 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined in Government Code § 51104(g))?				
There is no forest land or timberland zoned Timberland Prod	luction withi	n the vicinity o	of the project	site.
d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use? There is no forest land within the vicinity of project site.				
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				
There is no forest land or farmland within the vicinity of the changes to the environment that would result in the loss of eight	-		t would not	result in

3. AIR QUALITY

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:	тирасі	псогроганси	тирасі	тирасі
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of applicable air quality plans of either the South Coast AQMD (SCAQMD) or the Antelope Valley AQMD (AVAQMD)?				
The proposed project entails subdividing one existing resider A-1 (Light Agricultural) Zone. The project site is located with District (SCAQMD). The proposed project complies with General Plan and General Plan Housing Element. Therefore implementation of the applicable SCAQMD air quality plan.	thin the Sou th the densi	ith Coast Air (ty requiremen	Quality Mana ts of the ap	agement plicable
Based on the 2012 Area Designations for ten criteria pollutants, which is the most current available and represent air quality based on 2008 to 2010 monitoring data, the State Ambient Air Quality Standards for the Los Angeles County are as follows: "Nonattainment" for Ozone (O ₃), Suspended Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀), Fine Suspended Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5}), Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂), Lead (Pb); "Attainment" for Carbon Monoxide (CO), Sulfur Dioxide (SO ₂), and Sulfates; and "Unclassified" for Hydrogen Sulfide and Visibility Reducing Particles. The proposed project would not significantly contribute to this nonattainment status.				
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?				
The proposed project entails subdividing one existing resider A-1 (Light Agricultural) Zone. The project will not violate an or projected air quality violation.				
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?				
/m	1.1	ć		

The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of non-attainment criteria pollutants. The subdivision of an existing residential lots into six detached condominiums, individually or cumulatively, will not exceed the SCAQMD Air Quality Significant Thresholds. There may be some increase in air pollutants during construction activities (dust, exhaust, etc.); however, this increase would be temporary in nature and would be required to meet construction standards of the Department of Public Works.

d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?				
No sensitive uses are located within 600 feet of the project sensitive receptors to substantial amounts of pollutants. The the existing land uses in the neighborhood and is not a contraction.	ne proposed pr	oject is consi	dered consis	tent with
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?				

The proposed project of subdividing an existing single-family residential lot into six detached condominium units would not create objectionable odors that would be perceptible to a substantial number of people. The proposed project would not violate AQMD Rule 402, which states "A person shall not discharge from any source whatsoever such quantities of air contaminants or other material which cause injury, detriment, nuisance, or annoyance to any considerable number of persons or to the public, or which endanger the comfort, repose, health or safety of any such persons or the public, or which cause, or have a natural tendency to cause, injury or damage to business or property. The provisions of this rule shall not apply to odors emanating from agricultural operations necessary for the growing of crops or the raising of fowl or animals."

4. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:	•	•	•	•
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)?				
The project site is relatively flat with some short grasses and were placed on-site as residential landscaping. The prourbanized and developed area, and is not located in or near should have less than significant impact. Nesting birds occompliant with the California Department of Fish & Wildlife are no species of concern in the area identified by the California	posed reside an identified ur all over to (CDFW) co	ential subdivised sensitive envelopments and the county and des related to	ion is locate ironmental a the project Nesting Bird	d in an rea, and shall be s. There
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any sensitive natural communities (e.g., riparian habitat, coastal sage scrub, oak woodlands, non-jurisdictional wetlands) identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by CDFW or USFWS?				
The project site is not located within a Significant Ecologic Environmental Resource Area (SERA). There are no oak to site.	,	,		
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally or state protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marshes, vernal pools, coastal wetlands, and drainages) or waters of the United States, as defined by § 404 of the federal Clean Water Act or California Fish & Game code § 1600, et seq. through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				
The project site does not contain either Federal or State-prote	ected wetlan	ds or waters.		
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife				\boxtimes

corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites? The project site is not located within a Significant Ecological Area (SEA), SEA Buffer Area, or Sensitive Environmental Resource Area (SERA). There are no remaining oak trees or oak woodlands located on the project site. The residential subdivision is located in an urbanized and developed areas, and do not present a connectivity to wildlife and plant linkage areas or wildlife linkage corridors or rivers or significant ridgelines. e) Convert oak woodlands (as defined by the state, \bowtie oak woodlands are oak stands with greater than 10% canopy cover with oaks at least 5 inch in diameter measured at 4.5 feet above mean natural grade) or otherwise contain oak or other unique native trees (junipers, Joshuas, southern California black walnut, etc.)? There are no remaining oak trees, oak woodlands, Joshuas, or Junipers on the subject property. f) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances \boxtimes protecting biological resources, including Wildflower Reserve Areas (L.A. County Code, Title 12, Ch. 12.36), the Los Angeles County Oak Tree Ordinance (L.A. County Code, Title 22, Ch. 22.56, Part 16), the Significant Ecological Areas (SEAs) (L.A. County Code, Title 22, § 22.56.215), and Sensitive Environmental Resource Areas (SERAs) (L.A. County Code, Title 22, Ch. 22.44, Part 6)? There are no Wildflower Reserve Areas on the subject property. Because of the unauthorized removal of two on-site oak trees, the applicant is requesting an oak tree permit to legalize and mitigate these removals. Therefore, there is no conflict with the Los Angeles County Oak Tree Ordinance.

The project does not conflict with any adopted State, regional, or local Habitat Conservation Plan.

g) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted state,

regional, or local habitat conservation plan?

 \boxtimes

5. CULTURAL RESOURCES

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:	F	<i>Y</i>	F	1
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in CEQA Guidelines § 15064.5?				
The project site does not contain historical resources as defined no record of national or state-designated historical resources built in 1930 and has no known historical connections.	-			
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to CEQA Guidelines § 15064.5?				
The project site does not contain known archaeological resonand would result in minimal ground disturbance.	urces as defin	ned in CEQA	<u>Guidelines §</u>	<u> 15064.5</u>
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature, or contain rock formations indicating potential paleontological resources?				
The project site does not contain paleontological resource formations.	s or sites, u	nique geologic	cal features,	or rock
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				
There is no record of human remains on the project site. If disturbance, a condition of approval will be incorporated construction in the vicinity of a cultural resource or human activities at the site, and leave the resource of human remains examine and determine appropriate measures.	to ensure remains enc	that the subdountered durin	ivider shall : ig ground-di	<u>suspend</u> sturbing
e) Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource as defined in CEQA Public Resources Code § 21074?				
There are no known tribal cultural resources present on the production of the project site, they are unlikely to exist.	project site.	Due to the pre	viously distu	<u>rbed</u>

6. ENERGY

Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less I nan Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Conflict with Los Angeles County Green Building Standards Code (L.A. County Code Title 31)?				
The project is subject to and shall be in compliance with Los	Angeles Co	unty Green Bu	ilding standa	ards
b) Involve the inefficient use of energy resources (see Appendix F of the CEQA Guidelines)?				
Appendix F, Section 1 of the CEQA Guidelines requi		٠.	•	•

7. GEOLOGY AND SOILS

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:				
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known active fault trace? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				
There is no fault trace within the project site. Therefore, be exposed to potential substantial adverse effects (Source Earthquake Fault Zones Map).				
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?			\boxtimes	
The project site is located three miles to the southeast of fault trace within the project site. Therefore, people or seto greater potential adverse effects than any other site Geological Survey, Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone	tructures on te in Southe	the project site	will not be	<u>exposed</u>
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction and lateral spreading?				
The project site is located within a designated soil liquefa (Source: California Geological Survey). Due to this, standard design and construction features that will rendesignificant level.	the Departm	nent of Public	Works will	<u>require</u>
iv) Landslides?				
The project site is not located within any identified la Survey).	andslide zon	e. (Source: C	California Ge	eological
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				

existing residential lot into six detached residential condominiums and would have minimal grading activity. Thus, the proposed project should not cause substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil. Any development resulting from the subdivision would be subject to the County's adoption of the Green Building Code and the County's Low Impact Development (LID) Ordinance, which requires for the management of storm runoff to lessen the potential amounts of erosion activities resulting from storm water. In addition, the Regional Water Quality Control Board would require new development to obtain a Municipal Storm Water National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") Permit, which requires the incorporation of storm water mitigation measures. As such, the permit would reduce the quantity and improve the quality of rainfall runoff that leaves the site. \boxtimes c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse? The project site is located within a designated unstable geologic or soil unit (Source: California Department of Conservation). The site is located within a soil liquefaction zone, as is much of the San Gabriel Valley. Structures would be required to comply with the Los Angeles County building codes, which includes construction and engineering standards, as well as any recommendations developed in tandem with a soils or geology report. d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table \boxtimes 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property? The project site is not located on soil identified as expansive. Structures would be required to comply with the Los Angeles County building codes, which include construction and engineering standards, as well as any recommendations developed in tandem with a soils or geology report. \boxtimes e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of onsite wastewater treatment systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater? The proposed project does not entail the installation of onsite wastewater treatment systems, since public sewers are available for the disposal of wastewater. \boxtimes f) Conflict with the Hillside Management Area Ordinance (L.A. County Code, Title 22, § 22.56.215) or hillside design standards in the County General Plan Conservation and Open Space Element? The project site does not contain slopes over 25 percent, and thus does not conflict with the Hillside Management Area Ordinance.

The project site is located within an urbanized area. The proposed project entails a subdivision of one

8. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:				
a) Generate greenhouse gas (GHGs) emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?				
The project entails a subdivision of one residential lot into acres. Considering its relatively small scale and requirements expected that the project will generate GhGs that may have a	by the Cour	<u>nty's Green Bu</u>	<u>iilding Code,</u>	it is not
b) Conflict with any applicable plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?				
The project entails a subdivision of one residential lot into acres. Considering its relatively small scale and requirements expected that the project will generate GhGs that may have Therefore, the project will not conflict with any applicabe purpose of reducing the emissions of GhGs.	by the Courave a signifi	nty's Green Bu icant impact o	ilding Code, on the envir	it is not onment.

9. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:	•	•	•	•
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, storage, production, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				
The residential subdivision project does not include the roudisposal of hazardous materials, or the use of pressurized project, the project may have included minimal use of lubricants, and oils. Current local, state, and Federal laws rematerials make it unlikely that the project would have a significant of the project would	tanks. Dur hazardous melating to the	ring the construction that erials, such use, storage, a	ruction phase as solvents and disposal	e of the paints,
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials or waste into the environment?				
The residential subdivision project does not include the roudisposal of hazardous materials, or the use of pressurized project, the project may have included minimal use of lubricants, and oils. Current local, state, and Federal laws rematerials make it unlikely that the project would have a signi	tanks. Dur hazardous melating to the	ing the construction that erials, such use, storage, a	as solvents and disposal	e of the paints,
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of sensitive land uses?				
The subdivision of one single-family residential lot into hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazard construction phase of the project, the project may have incas solvents, paints, lubricants, and oils. Current local, state, a disposal of these materials make it unlikely that the project valocated within 500 feet of the project site.	ous materials luded minim and Federal la	s, substances o al use of hazar aws relating to	r waste. Du dous materia the use, stor	ring the als, such age, and
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code § 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				
The project site is not included on the California Departs	_			viroStor facilities

e) For a project located within an airport land use plan, or where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				
The project site is approximately one-half mile north of However, the project site is not located within the Airport result in a safety hazard to aircraft or residents (Source: Los	<u>Influence Ar</u>	ea, and its con	istruction w	<u>ould not</u>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				
The project site is not located within the vicinity of a private	airstrip.			
g) Impair implementation of, or physically interfere with, an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				
The project will not impair implementation of, or physically plan or emergency evacuation plan.	interfere, wi	th an adopted	emergency 1	response
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving fires, because the project is located:				
i) within a Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones (Zone 4)?				
The project site is not located within a Very High Fire H	azard Severit	y Zone.		
ii) within a high fire hazard area with inadequate access?				
The project site is not within a high fire hazard area wit in an urbanized area with easy access to existing major hi	-	access. The 1	project site is	s located
iii) within an area with inadequate water and pressure to meet fire flow standards?				
The Fire Department has determined that the existing fire	e flow of sur	rounding hydi	ants is adequ	iate.
iv) within proximity to land uses that have the potential for dangerous fire hazard?			\boxtimes	

The project site is not located in proximity to land u	ises with a potential	for dange	rous fire hazar	d. The
project site is surrounded by other residential uses.	The proposed proje	ct would l	oe required to	comply
with all of the requirements of the Los Angeles Cour	nty Fire Code.		-	
i) Does the proposed use constitute a potentially dangerous fire hazard?				
The proposed use does not constitute a potentially dark within a Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone. The proposed use does not constitute a potentially dark within a Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone.	posed project of a si	ix-unit resi	idential condo	<u>minium</u>
subdivision does not entail the regular use of large amous substances.	ints any hazardous c	or highly fi	ammable mate	erials or

10. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:	impaci	Incorporated	impaci	mpaci
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?				
The project site is connected to an existing municipal waster County, the proposed project would be required to comp Development Ordinance, as well as the requirements of the Storm Sewer System), in order to control and minimize potentially required to comply with these requirements in order to concupancy, the proposed project would not impact any nonperformance.	oly with the the County's entially pollu obtain consti	requirements MS4 Permit ted runoff. Be ruction permit	of the Low (Municipal scause all pro	y-Impact Separate jects are
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?				
The project site would be served by a public water system an	d would not	make use of lo	cal groundw	ater.
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?				
The project entails a subdivision of one residential lot into acres. The site is relatively level and does not contain any not substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site erosion, or siltation on-site or off-site. Development of the approved drainage plan and comply with all NPDES and MS	existing drain te in a mann ne residential	nage courses. her which wou I lot will be re	The subdivis	sion will looding,
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?				
The project entails a subdivision of one residential lot into acres. The site is relatively level and does not contain any exlot into six parcels will not substantially alter the existing of would result in flooding, erosion, or siltation on-site or off-s	<u>xisting draina</u> Irainage patt	ge courses. The	ne subdivisio in a manne	on of the er which

required to submit an approved drainage plan and comply wit	<u>th all NPDE</u>	ES and MS4 re	quirements.	
e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?				
The construction of residences will be subject to the Count reduce runoff, and the developer will be required to submit a NPDES and MS4 requirements.				
f) Generate construction or post-construction runoff that would violate applicable stormwater NPDES permits or otherwise significantly affect surface water or groundwater quality?				
The construction of residences will be subject to the Count reduce runoff, and the developer will be required to submit a NPDES and MS4 requirements.				
g) Conflict with the Los Angeles County Low Impact Development_Ordinance (L.A. County Code, Title 12, Ch. 12.84 and Title 22, Ch. 22.52)?				
The project will be required to comply with the Los Angeles (County Low	-Impact Deve	lopment Orc	linance.
h) Result in point or nonpoint source pollutant discharges into State Water Resources Control Board-designated Areas of Special Biological Significance?				
The project site is located inland from the coastal portions municipal storm drain system. Since the proposed is subjetilised Ordinance, adherence to the requirements would prevent as pollutants.	ct to the C	ounty's Low-l	mpact Deve	lopment
The project site is not located in the vicinity of a State V designated Area of Special Biological Significance http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/ocean/do3.pdf.	e identifie	d on the	SCRCB	website,
i) Use onsite wastewater treatment systems in areas with known geological limitations (e.g. high groundwater) or in close proximity to surface water (including, but not limited to, streams, lakes, and drainage course)?				
The proposed project does not entail the use of onsite wastev	vater treatm	ent systems.		
j) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?			\boxtimes	

The proposed subdivision will not otherwise substantially deg	grade water	quality. The	proposed pro	oject will
be connected to the existing public water and sewer systems.	,	1 ,	. 1 1	,
k) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map, or within a floodway or floodplain?				
The project site is not within a 100-year flood hazard area as Agency ("FEMA") Flood Insurance Rate Map ("FIRM").	mapped by	a Federal Em	ergency Man	<u>agement</u>
l) Place structures, which would impede or redirect flood flows, within a 100-year flood hazard area, floodway, or floodplain?				
The project site is not within a 100-year flood hazard area as Agency ("FEMA") Flood Insurance Rate Map ("FIRM").	mapped by	a Federal Em	ergency Man	<u>agement</u>
m) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				
The project site is not within a 100-year flood hazard area as Agency ("FEMA") Flood Insurance Rate Map ("FIRM") inundation area, so is nearly all of the San Gabriel Valley. The Dalton Dam resulting in harm to residents is remote enough sand	. While the The likeliho	e site is with od of a catast	<u>nin a poten</u> rophic failur	<u>tial dam</u>
n) Place structures in areas subject to inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?			\boxtimes	
The project site is not located within a flood zone, landslide site is within a potential dam inundation area, so is nearly all catastrophic failure of Big Dalton Dam resulting in harm to resignificant.	of the San	Gabriel Valley	. The likelih	ood of a

11. LAND USE AND PLANNING

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:				<i>P</i>
a) Physically divide an established community?				
The proposed project entails subdividing an existing resider not result in a physical division of an established community of new freeways or rail lines or flood control channels, and grid.	. The projec	t does not req	uire the cons	truction
b) Be inconsistent with the applicable County plans for the subject property including, but not limited to, the General Plan, specific plans, local coastal plans, area plans, and community/neighborhood plans?				
The proposed project entails subdividing an existing residence property has a land use category of Low Density Residential Countywide Land Use Plan. The land use designation indedevelopments. The proposed project of six residential parce acre) creates a greater density than that allowed under the General Plan permit a density bonus to the next higher Residential [6-12 dwelling units/gross acre]) for infill projects the 1980 countywide General Plan in keeping with the estables	(1-6 dwelling icates the problem of 0.82 graphs. Howeld it is a land use so Thus, the	g units/gross a oject site is su ross acres (7.3 ever, other pro category (Lo proposed proj	icre) within to itable for redwelling unity ovisions of to Medium ect is consist	the 1980 sidential ts/gross he 1980 Density
c) Be inconsistent with the County zoning ordinance as applicable to the subject property?				
The property is zoned A-1 (Light Agricultural). The proposed would be consistent with this zoning classification.	sed developr	nent of six sing	gle-family re	sidences
d) Conflict with Hillside Management criteria, Significant Ecological Areas conformance criteria, or other applicable land use criteria?				
The project site does not contain any area exceeding 25 requirements of the Hillside Management Ordinance.	percent in	slope and is	not subject	to the

12. MINERAL RESOURCES

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:	•	•	•	•
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				
The project will not result in the loss of availability of a knowledge identified as a mineral resource area on the Los Angeles Court			1 /	te is not
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally- important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				
The project would not result in the loss of availability of a least the project site is not identified as a mineral resource area. Areas map.	, ,			•

13. NOISE

Would the project result in:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impaci
a) Exposure of persons to, or generation of, noise levels in excess of standards established in the County General Plan or noise ordinance (Los Angeles County Code, Title 12, Chapter 12.08), or applicable standards of other agencies?				
The project would not result in exposure of persons to, or gestablished in the County Noise Ordinance or the General Panoise-generating site (e.g., airport, industrial site, freeway, Chapter 12.08 ("Noise Control Ordinance") of the Los Angexterior noise level of 45 decibels (dB) between 10:00 p.m. a.m. to 10 p.m. (daytime) in Noise Zone II (residential areas) of these limits, nor will residents of the project be exposed Control Ordinance regulates construction noise and the equipment. The Los Angeles County General Plan Noise Electrical Actions of the Plan Noi	lan Noise Elect.). The peles County and 7:00 a.m. The projecto noise in hours of controls.	ement. The project will con Code, which project will not continue to the continue of the conti	roject site is form to the provides a mand 50 dB fraceate noise in the limits. The mobile constitution of the limits of the limi	not near Title 12 naximum com 7:00 in excess ne Noise struction
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?				
The project would not expose sensitive receptors or excessive within 1,000 feet of the site. The project will conform to Ordinance") of the Los Angeles County Code, which prodecibels (dB) between 10:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. (nighttime) at Noise Zone II (residential areas).	o the Title 1 ovides a ma	12 Chapter 12 ximum exterio	.08 ("Noise or noise leve	Control el of 45
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project, including noise from parking areas?				
The project entails a subdivision of an existing residential leproject should not generate significant vehicle noise from train a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise in the project, including noise from parking areas. Any noise gowould be similar to ambient noise levels in the area, which similar density.	affic and part project vicinit enerated by	king. The pro ty above levels additional sing	ject would n existing wit gle-family re	ot result hout the sidences
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project, including noise from				

amplified sound systems?

The project entails a subdivision of an existing residential lot into six detached condominium units. The project should not generate significant vehicle noise from traffic and parking. The project would not rein a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise in the project vicinity above levels existing without project, including noise from parking areas. Any noise generated by additional single-family residence would be similar to ambient noise levels in the area, which is developed with single-family residences similar density. The subdivision should not create a substantial temporary or periodic new noise source result in any significant impacts related to a substantial increase in temporary noise. While there may some increase in ambient noise during construction activities, these would be required to conform to noise and timing requirements of the departments of Public Works and Public Health.	sult the ices at a , or be
e) For a project located within an airport land use	
The project site is approximately one-half mile north of the San Gabriel Valley (El Monte) Airp However, the project site is not located within the Airport Influence Area, and its construction would result in a safety hazard to aircraft or residents (Source: Los Angeles County Airport Land Commission).	<u>not</u>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip,	3

The project site is not in the vicinity of a private airstrip.

14. POPULATION AND HOUSING

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:	_	_		_
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?				
The project would not induce substantial growth in the area development at suburban densities. The project proposes six development is consistent with the type of development exist growth in the area.	new detach	<u>ed residential c</u>	<u>condominium</u>	ns. This
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, especially affordable housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				
The project would not displace existing housing, incluconstruction of replacement housing elsewhere. The site conbe replaced with six residences.				
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				
The project would not displace any people, necessitating elsewhere. The site contains one single-family residence, which	_		-	_
d) Cumulatively exceed official regional or local population projections?				
The project would not exceed official regional or local p detached residential condominiums will not exceed this p density permitted by the 1980 General Plan Land Use Ele The creation of six additional single-family residences show beyond that projected in the County General Plan or result in housing or create a development that significantly reduc- objectives set forth in the General Plan's Housing Element.	orojection. ement and ti uld not alter n a substant	The project is he General Plate the growth relial increase in o	s consistent an Housing ate of the po demand for a	with the Element. opulation dditional

15. PUBLIC SERVICES

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Would the project create capacity or service level problems, or result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:			2	2
Fire protection?				
The Fire Department has not indicated any significant efacilities. The nearest Los Angeles County Fire Station (#eproject site. No additional fire facilities are required for this	47) is approxi	-		
Sheriff protection?			\boxtimes	
The project would not create capacity or service level projects. The project site is approximately 3.2 miles to the proposed project will add new permanent residents to the project ratios.	west of the	Temple City S	heriff's Statio	on. The
Schools?			\boxtimes	
The project site is located within the El Monte City Schoothe six new residential units are not expected to create a project will be required to pay school impact fees to addidetermined by the school district.	capacity prob	olem for the S	chool Distri	ct. The
Parks?			\boxtimes	
The project will be conditioned to pay Quimby Fees per Lotrails are required. The nearest existing County park, Longmiles to the northeast, although several city parks are located	gden Ävenue	Park, is locate	ed approxim	<u>ately 1.5</u>
Libraries?				
The project will be conditioned to pay the library fees per proposed project will generate six residential units, and		•		

increase is not substantial to diminish the capacity of the l	Los Angeles	County Public	Library to s	<u>erve the</u>
project site and the surrounding community. The project si	te is approxi	nately 1.2 miles	s to the sout	hwest of
the Live Oak County Library.				
, ,				
Other public facilities?			\boxtimes	
•			<u> </u>	
The project is not perceived to create capacity or service	level proble	ms or result in	substantial	adverse
physical impacts for any other public facility.	1			
1 				

16. RECREATION

a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Review of the project by the Los Angeles County Depa Recreation") has not indicated that the project would increas parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial phy be accelerated.	e the use of	existing neighb	orhood and	regional
b) Does the project include neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of such facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				
The project does not include recreational facilities. Since to space, the subdivider will be required to pay in-lieu Quit construction or expansion of recreational facilities is required.	mby fees to			
c) Would the project interfere with regional open space connectivity?				
There are no trails located in the vicinity or on the project sopen space connectivity.	site. There a	re no expected	d impacts to	regional

17. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:	impaci	meorporated	impaci	mpaci
a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance, or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?				
The project would not conflict with an applicable plan, of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation systemaccounted for in the Baseline Growth Forecast of the Governments' Regional Transportation Plan ("RTP"), which assumptions at the regional and small-area levels that establishments.	em. The groe e 2012 Sou provided th	owth proposed othern Califor e basis for dev	d by the pr nia Associa veloping the	roject is tion of land use
b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program (CMP), including, but not limited to, level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the CMP for designated roads or highways?				
The project entails a subdivision of two existing residential learning that traffic impacts of the project have been reviewed and conference of Public Works ("DPW").				
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?				
The project will not change or encroach into air traffic patter d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design	ns.			\boxtimes
feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				
The project entails subdivision of one existing residential lo The project does not entail creating sharp curves or d Therefore, there will be no increased hazards due to design for	angerous in			

e) Result in inadequate emergency access?				
The proposed project of creating one additional residential emergency access for the project itself or make existing emergency access has been reviewed and cleared by the Los	ergency acces	s to off-site p	roperties ina	
f) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?				
The project site is not located along a route identified on the is it located within a Transit Oriented District. The prodesignated bikeways, pedestrian, or transit facilities.	•	•		

18. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS

Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impa ct
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of either the Los Angeles or Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Boards?				
The creation of six additional residential condominium requirements of the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Co (sewer) systems are required to obtain and operate under Discharge Elimination System) permit, which is issued by the (RWQCB). Because all municipal wastewater treatment factor from the RWQCB, any project which would connect to such the same standards imposed by the NPDES permit. As such compliance.	ontrol Board the terms of the local Regio ilities are rec th a system y	s. All public of an NPDES onal Water Quuired to obta	wastewater of (National Po- ality Control in NPDES pred to comp	lisposal ollution Board permits ly with
b) Create water or wastewater system capacity problems, or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
The creation of six additional residential condominium ur system capacity problem nor result in the construction of The project site will be served by a public water system, y proposed subdivision.	new water o	or wastewater	treatment fa	<u>icilities.</u>
c) Create drainage system capacity problems, or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
The Department of Public Works' review of the project drainage system capacity problems, and no construction expansion of existing facilities is required. The County's Locreated to deal with stormwater runoff from new projects.	of new ste	orm water dr	<u>ainage facili</u>	ties or
d) Have sufficient reliable water supplies available to serve the project demands from existing entitlements and resources, considering existing and projected water demands from other land uses?				

The project will have sufficient reliable water supplies availa	ble to serve t	he project der	mands from	existing
entitlements and resources. The project site will be served	by a public	water system,	which has i	issued a
"will serve" letter for the proposed subdivision.	, ,	,		
e) Create energy utility (electricity, natural gas,			\boxtimes	Ш
propane) system capacity problems, or result in the				
construction of new energy facilities or expansion of				
existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
cause significant environmental enects:				
The creation of six additional detached residential con-	dominiums v	will not sioni	ficantly imp	act the
availability of adequate energy supplies and should not crea				
the construction of new energy facilities or expansion of				
construction will be subject to the Green Building Code				
measures to further reduce the amount of energy consumed			0,	
Ç.	, , ,	1 /		
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted				
capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste				
disposal needs?				
D 1	1.6 1	.1 1	т л 1	
Development at the proposed density at this location is plan				
Regional Waste Management Plan. Due to the small scandidate the existing two lots into six residential condor				
waste disposal capacity.	illillullis silo	uid not signii.	саниу шира	Ct Sonu
waste disposai capacity.				
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and			\bowtie	
regulations related to solid waste?		Ш		
The project would be required to comply with federal, star	te, and local	statues and re	egulations re	lated to
solid waste. The California Integrated Waste Management A			0	
to attain specific waste diversion goals. In addition, the Cali		1	•	
Act of 1001 mandatos that expanded on new development	ant projects	to incomposat	o starage a	oos for

The project would be required to comply with federal, state, and local statues and regulations related to solid waste. The California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 requires the County of Los Angeles to attain specific waste diversion goals. In addition, the California Solid Waste Reuse and Recycling Access Act of 1991 mandates that expanded or new development projects to incorporate storage areas for recycling bins into the existing design. The project will include sustainable elements to ensure compliance with all federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste. It is anticipated that these project elements will comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations to reduce the amount of solid waste. The project will not displace an existing or proposed waste disposal, recycling, or diversion site.

19. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
The project does not have the potential to degrade the qual habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantiare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important history or prehistory. As analyzed in the Initial Study sect impact or less than significant impact in all these areas.	population to ally reduce th t examples o	o drop below : ne number or r of the major p	self-sustainin estrict the ra periods of C	ng levels, ange of a California
b) Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term environmental goals to the disadvantage of long-term environmental goals?				
The proposed project does not achieve short-term goals proposed use and density complies with the applicable Gene proposed project would have a less than significant impact.		_		
c) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?				
The proposed project does not have cumulative impacts. To future growths, as the project does not require additionathe project. There are no impacts that are cumulatively would have a less than significant impact.	al infrastructi	are beyond the	at necessary	to serve
d) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human				

beings, either directly or indirectly?

The project entails a subdivision of one residential lot into six detached condominium units on 0.82 gross acres in an A-1 (Light Agricultural) zone. The proposed project would not threaten the health, safety or welfare of human beings. Therefore, the proposed project would have a less than significant impact on human beings.